	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/043,665	RUSSELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ram R Shukla	1632
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Ram R Shukla.	(3)	
(2) Kathleen Williams.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 03 April 2001.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Williams was informed that the finality of the last office action (of 9-19-200) is withdrawn due to some new enablement issues and new 103/103 rejections and a new action will be sent soon.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	I. (See MPEP Section 713.04 MONTH FROM THIS INTER	4). If a reply to the last Office RVIEW DATE TO FILE A
	D 00	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required